Numerical Study Of The Effect Of Some Forming Paramiters In Hemispherical Punch Stretching

W.J.Ali^a, A.Alsaati^b, F.M.Alkaissy^c

a Dept. of Mech. Eng., College of Eng., Univ. of Mosul, Iraq

b Dept. of Architectural Eng., College of Eng., Univ. of Mosul, Iraq

c Dept. of Mech. Eng., Technical Inst., Mosul, Iraq

ABSTRACT

In this work a finite element simulation is used for the hemispherical punch stretching test. The effect of strain hardening exponent, the original thickness of the sheet metal and the coefficient of friction between the punch and the blank on the formability of sheet metal are investigated. The results of this simulation are used to derive an empirical formula combining the simultaneous effect of the three parameters on the final thickness of the sheet. This formula is used to derive a numerical criterion which can be used in a separate finite element program for predicting the initiation and the position of localized necking. The effect of the three parameters on the level of the forming limit diagram is also investigated. An emphasis is put on the relation between the coefficient of friction and the strain path.

Keywords: Localized necking, empirical formula, strain path

دراسة عددية لتأثير بعض عوامل التشكيل في عملية المط

بواسطة الخرامة نصف الكروية



د وليد جلال على ١. د. اكرم الساعاتي السيد فوزي محمود القيسي

الخلاصة :

تستخدم في هذا البحث المحاكات بطريقة العناصر المحددة لعملية مط الصفائح بواسطة الخرامة نصف الكروية. يتم التحقق من تأثير كل من اس الاصلاد الانفعالي و السمك الاصلى للصفيحة و معامل الاحتكاك بين الخرامة و الصفيحة على قابلية الصفيحة للتشكيل. استخدمت نتائج المحاكات لاشتقاق معادلة تطبيقية تجمع سوية تأثير هذه العوامل الثلاث على السمك النهائي للصفيحة. استخدمت هذة المعادلة لتطوير صبغة رياضية يمكن استخدامها في بر امج عناصر محددة اخرى لاكتشاف و تحديد موقع النخصر الموضعي حال وقوعه. كذلك تم التحقق من تاثير هذة العوامل الثلاث على مستوى منحنى حد التشكيل. يتم التأكيد هنا على العلاقة بين معامل الاحتكاك ومسار الانفعال

Introduction

Receiverh 21 d June of the finite element method to 1 the July 20 a finite element method to 1 the July 20 a finite element method to 2 a finite element method t of sheet metal forming necessitates the introduction of a numerical criterion into the program as a control to determine when and where localized necking will take place. Many criteria have been developed in the past; for example, Chow et al [1] used a new theory of damage mechanics and Takuda et al^[2] used a ductile fracture criterion. In this work a new criterion is developed based on the assumption that localized necking is formed at any point in the sheet when the final thickness t_f at that point reaches a critical value and becomes unable to withstand the stresses applied on it.

The existing metal forming simulation finite element code LS DYNA [3] was used. A different selected specimen size [4] is used for the hemispherical punch stretching test [5]. In this test a hemispherical punch of 25 mm in radius, a blank of 100*100 mm and a die corner radius of 5 mm are used.

In order to study the effect of the strain hardening exponent **n**, the original thickness t_0 and the coefficient of friction μ on the value of the final thickness, the values of the critical thickness t f, the major ε_1 and minor ε_2 principal strains for the elements where localized necking is starting are all recorded for different values of **n** by keeping t_0 and μ



constant first, and for different values of t_0 keeping n and μ constant and finally for different values of μ keeping n and t_0 constant.

It is known that increasing the values of **n** raises the level of the forming limit curve(FLC). This was already proved experimentally by Keeler[6] Nie and Lee [7], and Charpontier [8]. The increase in t_0 also raises the level of the forming limit curve as was proved by Heyer [9], Haberfield [10], Keeler [6], Charpontier [8] and Hobbs [11].

Marciniak [12] suggested that increasing μ permits greater depth of forming. Up to now there is no quantitative relationship between the value of μ and the strain path of the deformed sheet.

Finite Element Simulation

Fig (1) shows schematics of tool set up geometry, punch , blank holder , die and tested specimen .



Fig (1). Schematics of tool set up geometry

Fig (2) shows the finite element model for the test which has been created using ANSYS (5.3) package [13] .Due to the two fold symmetry of the process, FE descritization is considered for one quarter of the specimen. The element used is the shell 4- node quadrilateral with five integration points through the thickness. A uniform mesh of 400 elements is used for the blank, 192 for the punch, 320 for the blank holder and 640 for the die. The analysis has been carried out with the punch velocity of 10 mm/ms. The blank holding force is 100 KN and concentrated at the center of the blank holder. The contact element is of surface to surface type and the sliding and impact algorithm along interface is by the penalty method.





Fig (2). Finite element model for the test

Uniaxial tension tests were carried on a low carbon steel sheet metal. From these tests, the stress strain curve and the true stress-true strain curve on logarithmic coordinates were drawn in order to obtain the mechanical properties of the sheet metal. Table (1) shows the mechanical properties of the tested material. These properties are used in the FE calculations.

Table (1)

Properties of the tested metals obtained from a uniaxial

tension test

material	thickness	Density	Modulus	Poisson	Strength	Strain
	t mm		of	ratio	coefficient	hardening
		ρ	elasticity		K GP	exponent



		Kg/mm3	E GP	ν		n
Mild Steel	0.8	7.83-6	128	0.3	0.924	0.26

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The effect of the three parameters n, t_0 and μ on the ratio (t_f/t_0)

To generalize the study for sheets having different initial thicknesses, the dimensionless ratio t_f/t_o is considered instead of t_f .

The effect of the strain hardening exponent, n

Fig (3) shows the relation between **n** and the ratio of the final thickness (at the instant of the formation of localized necking) to the original thickness t_f/t_o while keeping t_o and μ constant. It is found that a decrease in t_f / t_o is about 22 % for an increase in **n** equals to 100%. This result coincides completely with the results which had been obtained by Keeler [6], Nie and Lee [7], Charpontier [8].





The effect of the initial thickness, to

Fig (4) shows the variation of the ratio of the final thickness (at the instant of the formation of localized necking) to the initial thickness t_f/t_o with respect to t_o while keeping **n** and μ constant.

Better thinning will be obtained by starting the stretching with a thicker sheet. The decrease in the ratio $\mathbf{t_f} / \mathbf{t_o}$ is about 11 % for an increase in $\mathbf{t_o}$ equal to 100 %. This result agrees strongly with the results which had been obtained by Heyer [9], Haberfield [10], Keeler [6], Charpontier [8] and Hobbs [11].



Fig (4) .The variation of t_f / t_o wrt t_o

The effect of the coefficient of friction, µ

Fig(5) shows the variation of t_f / t_o with respect to μ while keeping **n** and t_o constant. The decrease in the ratio t_f / t_o is about 15% for a decrease in μ equal to 100%.





Fig (5). The variation of t_f / t_o with μ

By comparison between the effects of the three parameters on the ratio t_f / t_o it can be deduced that the strain hardening exponent has the greatest effect on the final thickness of the sheet.

Empirical Formula

The simultaneous effect of the three parameters $n,\,t_o$ and μ on the ratio t_f / t_o was found by using the statistical package SPSS assuming that

 $\mathbf{t_f}/ \mathbf{t_o} \le \mathbf{a_o} + \mathbf{a_1} \mathbf{to} + \mathbf{a_2} \mathbf{n} + \mathbf{a_3} \mathbf{n}^2 + \mathbf{a_4} \mathbf{\mu}$

where \mathbf{a}_{i} are arbitrary constants

The results obtained are shown in table (2)



Table (2)

Statistical results

Multiple Regression = 0.987						
model	Unstand coefficients	dardized	standardiz ed coefficient s	Significan ce		
	В	Std. Error	Beta	t test		
Constant	1.0740	.012		80. 889	.000	
to	0.0901	.005	335	-19.646	.000 .000	
n	3.059	.118	- 1.221 .482 .491	-20.217 7 963	.000	
n**2	0.7182	.309		29.298	.000	
μ		.021				

This shows that all the parameters are significant, and \mathbf{n} has the highest influence as it has the biggest value of Beta.

The best fitting is given then by the following formula:

 t_{f} / t_{o} ${\leq}1.07405$ -.0901 t_{o} - 2.6137 n +3.059 n^{2} +0.7182 μ (1)

When the values of t_0 , n and μ are known this formula can be applied to determine the critical thickness at which localized necking will initiate.

A Criterion For Predicting Localized Necking

The strain through thickness is given by



$$\mathbf{\epsilon_3} = \ln \left(\mathbf{t_f} / \mathbf{t_o} \right)$$

and from the constancy of volume

 $\mathbf{\epsilon}_1 + \mathbf{\epsilon}_2 + \mathbf{\epsilon}_3 = 0$

therefore localized necking will initiate at any element whenever :

 $\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 \ge \ln (t_f / t_o)$ (2)

Where $\mathbf{t}_{f} / \mathbf{t}_{o}$ is calculated from equation (1).

This formula can be considered as a criterion for the indication of the initiation of localized necking based on a critical thickness ratio.

Equation (2) is very simple to imply and may be easily implemented in a separate FE program to predict localized necking.

The Effect Of The C.O.F. On The Strain Path

In stretching process as the punch is pushed into the sheet, tensile forces are generated through the sheet at its center. These are the forces that cause the deformation. The contact stress between the punch and the sheet is very much lower than the yield stress of the sheet so it does not effect the deformation.

In the case of no friction between the punch and the sheet, as in the bulge test, the tensile stresses are maximum at the pole and their values are:



$\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = P \rho / 2 t$		
where	σ_1	the stress in the radial direction or the
major stress		
		resulted from the radial tensile stress
T ₁		
	σ_2	the stress in the circumferential
direction or the		
		minor stress resulted from the
circumferential		
		tensile stress T_2
	Р	is the pressure exerted by the punch
	ρ	is the radius of curvature at that
point		
	t	is the thickness of the sheet

The failure is expected at the center of the dome by tearing[12].

When friction is considered it will introduce a tangential contact force on the sheet in the radial direction towards its center. The pressure producing this force is a part of the punch pressure. As a result both the stresses σ_1 and σ_2 will be reduced thereby reducing ϵ_1 and ϵ_2 . Figs.(6),(7) and (8) show the variation of ϵ_1 and ϵ_2 along a meridian at the instant of the initiation of localized necking for three different values of coefficient of friction.





Fig.(6). The variation of the major and minor strains along a meridian at localized necking when the c.o.f. = 0.075



Fig.(7).The variation of the major and minor strains along a meridian at localized necking when the c.o.f. = 0.175





Fig.(8).The variation of the major and the minor strains along a meridian at localized necking when the c.o.f. = 0.25

The effect of friction is to stick the punch with the sheet to a certain degree depending on the value of the c.o.f. between the two. This reduces relatively the values of ϵ_1 and ϵ_2 in the contacted part of the sheet and transfers the effect to the outer ring of contact at that instant. At the ring the effect of the frictional force is added to the tensile force T_1 . As a result ϵ_1 is enhanced while ϵ_2 is still constrained because of friction [In the case of high c.o.f., as an element approaches contact with the punch, the rate of increase of ϵ_2 will lessen because of circumferential constraint by neighboring elements on the punch. ϵ_1 will not be so constrained. As an element makes contact with the punch, $d\epsilon_2 / d\epsilon_1 \rightarrow 0$ so that necking can occur].[15]

From fig.(6) it can be seen that at law c.o.f. both values of ε_1 and ε_2 are high and close to each other. For higher c.o.f., figures.(7) and (8), the two values are lower near the center. ε_1 increases gradually while ε_2 continues to be small along the meridian from the center to the outer ring of contact. The result is higher difference between ε_1 and ε_2 . This difference increases with the increase of the c.o.f. and vice versa. This explains the variation of the strain path by changing the c.o.f.[The strain



path in all regions from uniaxial strain state to balanced biaxial state in the forming limit diagram(FLD) can be changed by improving the lubrication, but up to now there is no quantitative understanding on the corresponding relationship between lubrication and the strain path] [16],[small variation in lubrication can affect the path of deformation] [17]

By more movement of the punch a new ring starts to contact the punch. ε_1 further increases while ε_2 is always constrained. An instant is reached when ε_3 reaches the critical value indicated by equation (1). As σ_1 is larger than σ_2 so its effect is overwhelming and a state of localized uniaxial tension takes place at the ring of contact. As a result ε_1 increases at the expense of the thickness while ε_2 remains constant ($d\varepsilon_2 / d\varepsilon_1 \rightarrow 0$). The rate of the reduction in crossectional area is higher than the rate of strain hardening. The remaining area is not able to withstand σ_1 . Failure takes place by splitting in a circle around the ring perpendicular to σ_1 . It worth's mentioning here that ($d\varepsilon_2 / d\varepsilon_1 \rightarrow 0$) is a result of the initiation of failure and not its cause.

The history of the elements to be necked is followed at three different values of coefficient of friction (0.05, 0.15, 0.30). The values of ε_1 and ε_2 at each stage of the movement of the punch are recorded and then plotted on a forming limit diagram to indicate the strain path in each case. Fig.(9) shows three distinct strain paths for the three different values of the c.o.f.





Fig.(9). The effect of the c.o.f. on the strain path

This result agrees with the experimental result, fig (10), obtained by Graf and Hosford [18] which had been presented for another purpose but it shows clearly the change of strain path for two metals after using lubricants.



Fig (10).Strain paths obtained of two low carbon steels with



lubrication conditions. From Graf and Hosford[12]

It is clear that reducing the c.o.f. changes the strain path from the region of plain strain state towards the region of equibiaxial strain state. This is the same effect which can be obtained by increasing the width of the test specimen in the experimental procedure for determining the FLC. Increasing the c.o.f. or reducing the width of the specimen produces a reversed effect.

Other Effects Of The C.O.F.

From the previous discussion it can be noticed that in addition to changing the strain path, reducing the c.o.f. moves the position where necking is taking place towards the center of the deformed cup as shown in fig.(11).



Fig.(11). The effect of the c.o.f. on necking

position

In the same time, it will result in more thinning and the final thickness of the sheet will be more homogenous, fig.(12)





Fig.(12). The variation of the reduction in thickness with the distance from the center for different values of the c.o.f.

The Effect Of $\,$ N , $T_o\,$ And M On The Level Of The Flc

The Effect Of The Strain Hardening Exponent, N

Fig (13) shows the relation between **n** and the major strain ε_1 at plane strain ($\varepsilon_2 = 0$) or the level of the forming limit diagram, FLD₀. It is clear that **n** has a considerable effect on the level of the FLD. Increasing the value of **n** by 100 % will raise the FLC level by 100 %. This agrees with the fact that the major strain at plane strain equals to the strain hardening exponent [19].





Fig (13). The relation between **n** and ε_1

The Effect Of Initial Thickness T_o

Fig (14) shows the relation between the value of \mathbf{t}_0 and the value of major strain $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_1$ at plain strain ($\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_2 = 0$). Increasing \mathbf{t}_0 by 100 % will raise the FLC level by 20 %.



Fig(14). The relation between t_o and ϵ_1 at plain

strain

The Effect Of M

According to what was proved earlier any decrease in the value of μ will change the strain path towards the equibiaxial strain state region. This means that it is imposible to vary μ and still being in the plain strain state. Changing the strain path towards the equibiaxial



strain state increases ε_1 and ε_2 as shown in fig(9). This means that the formability of the sheet is icreased. It can be proved that the points whose coordinates are the values of ε_1 and ε_2 at localized necking for different values of μ fall always on the FLC. So the level of the FLC is not affected by the variation of μ . This coincides with the fact that μ is not an intrinsic property of the material.

Therefore both \mathbf{n} and \mathbf{t}_0 raise the level of the FLC and \mathbf{n} has the greatest effect. Reducing the c.o.f. does not have an effect on the level of the FLC but indirectly increases the level of the forming limit diagram.

Concluding Remarks

The finite element simulation LS-DYNA was used to study the effect of varying \mathbf{n} , \mathbf{t}_0 and $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ (between the punch and the blank) on the formability of sheet metal in the hemispherical punch test. An empirical formula was deduced which can give the combined effect of the three factors \mathbf{n} , \mathbf{t}_0 and $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ on the ratio $\mathbf{t}_f / \mathbf{t}_0$ simultaneously. This formula can be used to calculate the critical thickness at which localized necking occurs for any sheet whenever \mathbf{n} , \mathbf{t}_0 and $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ are known.

A numerical criterion for predicting the initiation and place of localized necking based on the critical final thickness is also developed. This criterion can be easily implemented in a separate FE program .

It was found that increasing \mathbf{n} or \mathbf{t}_o raises the level of the FLC and reduces the final thickness. \mathbf{n} has the greatest effect. Changing the value of $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ will not alter the level of the FLC, but results in thinner sections and better thickness homogeneity, and above all, it changes the strain path. Decreasing the value of $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ changes the strain path from the region of plain strain towards the region of equibiaxial strain state resulting in better formability and vice versa.

References

1. Chow C.L., et al," Analysis Of Sheet Metal Formability", University Of

Michigan_Dearbone, Report Brief, April 1998



2. Takuda H., Hatta N.," Numerical Analysis Of The Formability of an AL 2024 Alloy Sheet and Its Laminates With Steel Sheets", Metall. And Mater. Transactions.

Volume 29 A, nov. 1998_2829

3. LS_DYNA version 740 . Users manual. Livermore Software Technology

Corporation. Livermore ,CA 94550

4. Kim,K.J.,et al," Formability of AA5182j/polypropylene/AA5182 sandwich sheets", J.Mat.Proc.Tech.139(2003)1_7

5. Hecker, S.S., "Simple Technique For Determining Forming Limit Curves" Sheet Metal Ind., vol.44, 1972, PP.467_469

6. Keeler, S.P. and Brazier, W.G., in micro alloying 75), pp. 517_30, Union Carbide, N.Y. (1977

7. Nie, Q.Q. and Lee, D. J., "Forming Limit diagram of steel and foils", Materials

shaping technology, vol. 9, p. 789, 1991.

8. Charpentier, P. L., Metallurgical Transactions, 6A, p. 1665, 1975

9. Heyer, R. H and Newby, J. R., "Effects of Mechanical properties on

Biaxial stretchability of law carbon steels ", Trans. SE, 77, (1969)

10. Haberfield, A. B. and Boyls, M. W., Sheet Metal Industries, 50, p. 400, 1973

11. Hobbs, R. M., Sheet Metal Industries, 55, p. 451, 1978

 Marciniak, Z., Duncan, J. L., Hu, S. J., "Mechanics Of Sheet Metal Forming", Butterworth Heinemann, pp.134, 2002

13. ANSYS release 5.4, Ansys Inc. Canonsburg, PA.

 Marciniak, Z., Duncan, J. L., Hu, S. J., "Mechanics Of Sheet Metal Forming", Butterworth_Heinemann, pp.129, 2002

15. Hosford W.F.,Caddell R.M.,"Metal Forming Mechanics And Metallurgy",Printice

_Inc., PP. 298,1983

16. A written letter from Prof. Zhou, X., Beijing Univ. of Aeronautics and



Astronautics, CHINA. March 29, 2005

17.Kleiber, M. et al, "Reliability assessment for sheet metal forming operations",

Institute of Fundamental Technological research, Swietokrzyska 21, 00_049 Warsaw, October 6, 2000

18.Graf, A.F. and Hosford, W.F.,"Calculations Of Forming Limit Diagram for changing strain paths",Metallurgical Transactions A. vol. 24 A, nov. 1993

19. Hill, R., "On Discontinuous Plastic States, With Special Reference to Localized

Necking in Thin Sheets". Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of solids, Vol. 1,

pp.19_30, 1952

